

# International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infections Consortium (ISARIC)

*A global federation of clinical research networks, providing a proficient, coordinated, and agile research response to outbreak-prone infectious diseases*

## COVID-19 Report

### Summary

The results in this report have been produced using data from My site using the ISARIC COVID-19 database. For information, or to contribute to the collaboration, please contact [sprintsari@ucd.ie](mailto:sprintsari@ucd.ie).

Up to the date of this report, data have been entered for **501** individuals.

Please note the following caveats. Information is incomplete for the many patients who are still being treated. Furthermore, it is likely that we received more cases of severely ill individuals than those with relatively less severe illness; outcomes from these data, such as the proportion dying, must therefore not be used to infer outcomes for the entire population of people who might become infected. Some patients may be participants in clinical trials of experimental interventions. Additional caveats are provided in the in the ‘Caveats’ section below.

The analysis detailed in this report only includes individuals for whom data collection commenced on or before **28 July 2020**.

The cohort comprises **493** individuals, including 310 males and 180 females – sex is unreported for 3 cases. SARS-COV-2 infection has been **confirmed by laboratory testing in 304 of these individuals**. 189 individuals are recorded as suspected of SARS-COV-2 infection, without laboratory confirmation at the time of data analysis.

The median age (calculated based on reported ages) is 60 years. The minimum and maximum observed ages are 5 and 103 years respectively.

Outcomes have been recorded for 51 patients, consisting of 0 recoveries and 51 deaths. Follow-up is ongoing for 75 patients. Outcome records are unavailable for 59 patient(s).

The observed mean number of days from (first) symptom onset to hospital admission was 9.1, with a SD of 8 days and a median of 6 days.

A total of 407 cases had complete information on length of hospital stay. Of these, the observed mean number of days from hospital admission to outcome (death or discharge) was 13.2, with a standard deviation (SD) of 17.6 days and a median of 7 days.

The symptoms on admission represent the policy for hospital admission and containment at this site. The four most common symptoms at admission were fatigue and malaise alongside cough, history of fever and shortness of breath.

102 patients received non-invasive mechanical ventilation (NIV). The mean and median durations from admission to receiving NIV were 5.6 days and 3 days respectively (SD: 8 days) – estimated from records on cases with complete records on dates of hospital admission and NIV onset (N = 87).

The mean and median durations for NIV were 3.4 days and 0.8 days respectively (SD: 5.3 days) – estimated based on only those cases which have complete NIV duration records (N = 56).

184 patients were admitted at some point into an intensive care unit (ICU) or high dependency unit (HDU). The observed mean and median durations (in days) from hospital admission to ICU/HDU admission were 5.6 and 1 respectively (SD: 10.8) – estimated from records on cases with complete date records on hospital admission and ICU/HDU entry (N = 181).

The duration of stay in ICU/HDU has a mean of 12.6 days and a median of 9 (SD: 11.6 days) – estimated on only those cases with complete records for ICU/HDU duration or ICU/HDU start/end dates (N = 136). Of these 184 patients who were admitted into ICU/HDU, 29 died, 53 are still in hospital and 84 have recovered and been discharged. Outcome records are unavailable for 18 cases.

128 patients received invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV). The mean and median durations (in days) from admission to receiving IMV were 6.7 and 1 respectively (SD: 12.1 days) – estimated from records on cases with complete information on dates of hospital admission and IMV onset (N = 124).


The mean, median and SD for the duration (in days) of IMV – estimated based on all 83 cases with complete records on IMV stays – were 13.2, 10 and 11.9 respectively.

Of 359 patients with a recorded outcome and details of treatments received, 75.8% received an antibiotic and 25.1% received antivirals. These treatment categories are not mutually exclusive since some patients received multiple treatments. 52.4% of patients received some degree of oxygen supplementation: of these, 36.7% received NIV and 35.6% IMV.


Of 113 patients admitted into ICU/HDU with a recorded outcome and details of treatments, 93.8% received antibiotics and 46.0% antivirals; and 99.1% received some degree of oxygen supplementation, of which 53.6% was NIV and 59.8% IMV.



# Patient Characteristics

Figure 1: Age and sex distribution of patients. Bar fills are outcome (death/discharge/ongoing care) at the time of report.





**Figure 2:** Top: Frequency of symptoms seen at admission amongst COVID-19 patients. Bars are annotated with a fraction representing the number of patients presenting with this symptom over the number of patients for whom presence or absence of this symptom was recorded. Middle: The distribution of combinations of the four most common symptoms, amongst all patients for whom these data were recorded. Filled and empty circles below the x-axis indicate the presence or absence of each comorbidity. The “Any other” category contains all remaining symptoms in the top plot. Bottom: Heatmap for prevalence of pairwise combinations of symptoms. Fill colour reflects the proportion of patients reporting presence of both symptoms amongst those with recorded presence or absence of both.






**Figure 3:** Top: Frequency of comorbidities seen at admission amongst COVID-19 patients. Bars are annotated with a fraction representing the number of patients presenting with this comorbidity over the number of patients for whom presence or absence of this comorbidity was recorded. Bottom: The distribution of combinations of the four most common comorbidities, amongst all patients for whom these data were recorded. Filled and empty circles below the x-axis indicate the presence or absence of each comorbidity. The “Any other” category contains all remaining comorbidities in the top plot, and any other comorbidities recorded as free text by clinical staff.






## Variables by age







**Figure 4:** Comorbidities stratified by age group. Boxes show the proportion of individuals with each comorbidity, with error bars showing 95% confidence intervals. The size of each box is proportional to the number of individuals represented. N is the number of individuals included in the plot (this may vary between plots due to data completeness).






**Figure 5:** Symptoms recorded at hospital presentation stratified by age group. Boxes show the proportion of individuals with each symptom, with error bars showing 95% confidence intervals. The size of each box is proportional to the number of individuals represented. N is the number of individuals included in the plot (this may vary between plots due to data completeness). The following symptoms are grouped: lower respiratory is either wheeze or shortness of breath; upper respiratory is any of runny nose, sore throat or ear pain; gastrointestinal is any of abdominal pain, vomiting or diarrhoea; neurological is either seizures or confusion; and constitutional is any of myalgia, joint pain, fatigue or headache.






**Figure 6:** Box and whisker plots for observations at hospital presentation stratified by age group. Outliers are omitted. N is the number of individuals included in the plot (this may vary between plots due to data completeness).




**Figure 7:** Box and whisker plots for laboratory results within 24 hours of hospital presentation stratified by age group. Outliers are omitted. N is the number of individuals included in the plot (this varies between plots due to data completeness). ALT, Alanine transaminase; APTT, Activated partial thromboplastin time; CRP, C-reactive protein




## Hospital stays and outcomes


**Figure 8:** Distribution of length of hospital stay, according to sex. This only includes cases with reported outcomes. The coloured areas indicate the kernel probability density of the observed data and the box plots show the median and interquartile range of the variable of interest. White dots are outliers.




**Figure 9:** Distribution of length of hospital stay, according to patient age group. This only includes cases with reported outcomes. The coloured areas indicate the kernel probability density of the observed data and the box plots show the median and interquartile range of the variable of interest. White dots are outliers.



**Figure 10:** The distribution of patient status by number of days after admission. Patients with “Unknown” status have left the site at the time of report but have unknown outcomes due to missing data. Patients still on site at the time of report appear in the ongoing “ongoing care” for days which are in the future at that time. (For example, a patient admitted 7 days before the date of report and still on site at report would be categorised as “ongoing care” for days 8 and later.) The black line marks the end of 14 days; due to the cut-off, only a small number of patients appear in the “ongoing care” category left of this line.




**Figure 11:** Patient numbers and outcomes by epidemiological week (of 2020) of admission (or, for patients infected in hospital, of symptom onset). The rightmost bar, marked with an asterisk, represents an incomplete week (due to the 14-day cutoff).




# Treatment

Figure 12: Treatments used. This only includes patients for whom this information was recorded.






**Figure 13:** The distribution of combinations of antimicrobial treatments and steroids administered during hospital stay, across all patients with completed hospital stay and recorded treatment data. Filled and empty circles below the x-axis indicate treatments that were and were not administered.




## Intensive Care and High Dependency Unit Treatments


**Figure 14:** Treatments used amongst patients admitted to the ICU. This only includes patients for whom this information was recorded.



**Figure 15:** The distribution of combinations of treatments administered during ICU/HDU stay. Filled and empty circles below the x-axis indicate treatments that were and were not administered respectively.




**Figure 16:** Distribution of lengths of stay for patients who were admitted to ICU/HDU: total length of stay for this group and length of stay within intensive care. This only includes cases with reported completed stays. The coloured areas indicate the kernel probability density of the observed data and the box plots show the mean and interquartile range of the variable of interest.




# Statistical Analysis

**Figure 17:** Distribution of time from symptom onset to admission. The blue curve is the Gamma distribution fit to the data. The black dashed line indicates the position of the expected mean. Expected estimates, accounting for unobserved outcomes, are provided in the summary tables at the end of this report.



**Figure 18:** Distribution of time from admission to an outcome - either death or recovery (discharge). The blue curve is the Gamma distribution fit to the data. The black dashed line indicated the position of the expected mean.



**Figure 19:** Nonparametric probabilities of death (red curve) and recovery (green curve) over time. The black line indicates the case fatality ratio (black). The method used here considers all cases, irrespective of whether an outcome has been observed. For a completed epidemic, the curves for death and recovery meet. Estimates were derived using a nonparametric Kaplan-Meier-based method proposed by Ghani *et al.* (2005).

## Background

In response to the emergence of novel coronavirus (COVID-19), ISARIC launched a portfolio of resources to accelerate outbreak research and response. These include data collection, analysis and presentation tools which are freely available to all sites which have requested access to these resources. All data collection tools are designed to address the most critical public health questions, have undergone extensive review by international clinical experts, and are free for all to use. Resources are available on the [ISARIC website](#) and from the REDCAP administrator who can be contacted at [sprintsari@ucd.ie](mailto:sprintsari@ucd.ie).

The ISARIC-COVID-19 CORE CASE REPORT FORM IRELAND, which was adapted from the [ISARIC-WHO COVID-19 Case Record Form \(CRF\)](#), enables the collection of standardised clinical data to inform patient management and public health response. These forms should be used to collect data on suspected or confirmed cases of COVID-19. The ISARIC-COVID-19 CORE CASE REPORT FORM IRELAND can be obtained from the SPRINT-SARI coordinator at [sprintsari@ucd.ie](mailto:sprintsari@ucd.ie).

To support researchers to retain control of the data and samples they collect, ISARIC also hosts a data platform, where data can be entered to a web-based REDCap data management system, securely stored, and used to produce regular reports on their sites as above. Data contributors are invited to input on the methods and contents of the reports, and can also contribute to the aggregated data platform which aggregates site-specific data from all other sites across the world who are using this system. For more information, visit the ISARIC website.

All decisions regarding data use are made by the institutions that enter the data. ISARIC keeps contributors informed of any plans and welcomes their input to promote the best science and the interests of patients, institutions and public health authorities. Feedback and suggestions are welcome at [sprintsari@ucd.ie](mailto:sprintsari@ucd.ie).

## Methods

Patient details were submitted electronically by My site to the ISARIC database. Relevant background and presenting symptoms were recorded on the day of study recruitment. Daily follow-up was then completed until recovery or death. A final form was completed with details of treatments received and outcomes. All categories that represent fewer than five individuals have been suppressed to avoid the potential for identification of participants.

Graphs have been used to represent the age distribution of patients by sex and status (dead, recovered & still in hospital), the prevalence of individual symptoms on admission, comorbidities on admission, the length of hospital stay by sex and age group and the distribution of patient statuses by time since admission. In addition, the number of cases recruited by country and site, as well as the case count by status, has been represented.

Using a non-parametric Kaplan-Meier-based method (Ghani *et al.*, 2005), the case-fatality ratio (CFR) was estimated, as well as probabilities for death and recovery. This method estimates the CFR with the formula  $a/(a+b)$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are the values of the cumulative incidence function for deaths and recoveries respectively, estimated at the last observed time point. In a competing risk context (i.e. where there are multiple endpoints), the cumulative incidence function for an endpoint is equal to the product of the hazard function for that endpoint and the survival function assuming a composite endpoint. It is worth noting that this method assumes that future deaths and recoveries will occur with the same relative probabilities as have been observed so far. Binomial confidence intervals for the CFR were obtained by a normal approximation (See Ghani *et al.*, (2005)).

To obtain estimates for the distributions of time from symptom onset to hospital admission and the time from admission to outcome (i.e. death or recovery), Gamma distributions were fitted to the observed data, accounting for unobserved outcomes. Parameters were estimated by a maximum likelihood procedure and confidence intervals for the means and variances were obtained by bootstrap.

All analysis were performed using the R statistical software (R Core Team, 2019).



## Caveats

Patient data are collected and uploaded from start of admission, however a complete patient data set is not available until the episode of care is complete. This causes a predictable lag in available data influenced by the duration of admission which is greatest for the sickest patients, and accentuated during the up-phase of the outbreak.

## Summary Tables

Proportions are presented in parantheses. Proportions have been rounded to two decimal places.

**Table 1:** Patient Characteristics

Description	Value
Size of cohort	493
<b>By sex</b>	
Male	310 (0.63)
Female	180 (0.37)
Unknown	3 (0)
<b>By outcome status</b>	
Dead	51 (0.1)
Recovered (discharged alive)	0 (0)
Still in hospital	75 (0.15)
Tranferred to another facility	58 (0.12)
Unknown	1 (0)
<b>By COVID-19 status</b>	
Positive (laboratory-confirmed)	304 (0.62)
Suspected	189 (0.38)
<b>By age group</b>	
0-9	1 (0)
10-19	4 (0.01)
20-29	31 (0.06)
30-39	44 (0.09)
40-49	80 (0.16)
50-59	81 (0.16)
60-69	103 (0.21)
70+	147 (0.3)
Unknown	2 (0)

**Table 2:** Prevalence of Symptoms

Symptoms	Present	Absent	Unknown
History of fever	330 (0.67)	146 (0.3)	17 (0.03)
Shortness of breath	319 (0.65)	165 (0.33)	9 (0.02)
Cough	311 (0.63)	127 (0.26)	55 (0.11)
Fatigue / Malaise	221 (0.45)	229 (0.46)	43 (0.09)
Muscle aches	133 (0.27)	325 (0.66)	35 (0.07)

Symptoms	Present	Absent	Unknown
Vomiting / Nausea	105 (0.21)	363 (0.74)	25 (0.05)
Chest pain	93 (0.19)	370 (0.75)	30 (0.06)
Headache	91 (0.18)	366 (0.74)	36 (0.07)
Diarrhoea	78 (0.16)	386 (0.78)	29 (0.06)
Sore throat	74 (0.15)	385 (0.78)	34 (0.07)
Altered consciousness / confusion	52 (0.11)	420 (0.85)	21 (0.04)
Joint pain	43 (0.09)	403 (0.82)	47 (0.1)
Abdominal pain	42 (0.09)	421 (0.85)	30 (0.06)
Wheezing	32 (0.06)	421 (0.85)	40 (0.08)
Runny nose	20 (0.04)	436 (0.88)	37 (0.08)
Skin rash	7 (0.01)	457 (0.93)	29 (0.06)
Bleeding	4 (0.01)	460 (0.93)	29 (0.06)
Lymphadenopathy	3 (0.01)	457 (0.93)	33 (0.07)
4a.5. Ear pain	2 (0)	313 (0.63)	178 (0.36)
Conjunctivitis	1 (0)	463 (0.94)	29 (0.06)
4a.20. Skin ulcers	1 (0)	319 (0.65)	173 (0.35)
NA	NA (NA)	NA (NA)	NA (NA)

**Table 3:** Prevalence of Comorbidities

Comorbidities	Present	Absent	Unknown
Other relevant risk factor(s)	194 (0.39)	287 (0.58)	12 (0.02)
Chronic cardiac disease	101 (0.2)	384 (0.78)	8 (0.02)
Diabetes	83 (0.17)	400 (0.81)	10 (0.02)
Asthma	73 (0.15)	410 (0.83)	10 (0.02)
Obesity	69 (0.14)	402 (0.82)	22 (0.04)
Chronic pulmonary disease	64 (0.13)	419 (0.85)	10 (0.02)
Chronic kidney disease	38 (0.08)	446 (0.9)	9 (0.02)
Smoking	38 (0.08)	280 (0.57)	175 (0.35)
Malignant neoplasm	36 (0.07)	447 (0.91)	10 (0.02)
Chronic neurological disorder	35 (0.07)	449 (0.91)	9 (0.02)
Dementia	21 (0.04)	459 (0.93)	13 (0.03)
Chronic hematologic disease	15 (0.03)	467 (0.95)	11 (0.02)
Malnutrition	3 (0.01)	476 (0.97)	14 (0.03)
4b.10. AIDS/HIV	1 (0)	341 (0.69)	151 (0.31)
Liver disease	0 (0)	0 (0)	493 (1)

**Table 4:** Prevalence of Treatments

The counts presented for treatments include all cases, not only cases with complete details of treatments (as expressed in the summary).

Treatments	Present	Absent	Unknown
Oxygen therapy	268 (0.54)	217 (0.44)	8 (0.02)
ICU or High Dependency Unit admission	147 (0.3)	282 (0.57)	64 (0.13)
Invasive ventilation	128 (0.26)	359 (0.73)	6 (0.01)
Non-invasive ventilation	102 (0.21)	385 (0.78)	6 (0.01)
Inotropes / vasopressors	93 (0.19)	337 (0.68)	63 (0.13)
Prone Positioning	61 (0.12)	368 (0.75)	64 (0.13)

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Present</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
6.8 OTHER intervention or procedure	39 (0.08)	217 (0.44)	237 (0.48)
Renal replacement therapy	37 (0.08)	393 (0.8)	63 (0.13)
Tracheostomy inserted	19 (0.04)	405 (0.82)	69 (0.14)
Inhaled Nitric Oxide	3 (0.01)	424 (0.86)	66 (0.13)
Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)	2 (0)	485 (0.98)	6 (0.01)
NA	NA (NA)	NA (NA)	NA (NA)
NA	NA (NA)	NA (NA)	NA (NA)
NA	NA (NA)	NA (NA)	NA (NA)

**Table 5:** Key time variables.

Unlike the observed mean, the estimation process of the **expected mean** accounts for all cases, irrespective of whether an outcome has been observed. The expected mean is ‘NA’ for those variables for which parameter estimation could not be performed, due to the high proportion of unobserved end dates. The interquartile range is abbreviated ‘IQR’.

Time (in days)	Mean (observed)	SD (observed)	Median (observed)	IQR (observed)	Expected mean (95% CI)
Length of hospital stay	13.2	17.6	7	13	37.8 (35.1, 41.9)
Symptom onset to admission	9.1	8	6	7	8 (7.7, 8.6)
Admission to ICU entry	5.6	10.8	1	3.5	5.6 (5.3, 6.2)
Duration of ICU	12.6	11.6	9	14.2	NA
Admission to IMV	6.7	12.1	1	3.4	6.7 (6.3, 7.4)
Duration of IMV	13.2	11.9	10	11.5	NA
Admission to NIV	5.6	8	3	5.5	5.6 (5.3, 6.1)
Duration of NIV	3.4	5.3	0.8	5.5	NA

## ISARIC Team Members

This report is made possible through the efforts and expertise of the staff collecting data at our partner institutions in Ireland. For a list of global partners and team members, please visit <https://isaric.tghn.org/covid-19-data-management-hosting-contributors/>.

The Irish members are:

Institution	Lead
St Vincents University Hospital	Alistair Nichol
Beaumont University Hospital	Ger Curley
University Hospital Galway	Bairbre McNicholas
university Hospital Tallaght	Arabella Fahy
University Hospital Kerry	Niamh Feely
University Hospital Limerick	Catherine Motherway
Beacon Hospital	Patrick Breen
Mater Misericordiae University Hospital	Brian Marsh
Cork University Hospital	Dorothy Breen
Mercy University Hospital	Dorothy Breen
Sligo Saolta	Miriam O’Shea

Institution	Lead
Bon Secour Cork	Marianne Fraher
Childrens Health	Michael Williamson
Connolly Hospital Blanchardstown	Caitriona Cody
Waterford Hospital	Coilin Smyth
Wexford General Hospital	Obada Yousif
St Lukes General Hospital	Garry Courtney

The Management Committee for Ireland contains the persons above and Peter Doran, Kathy Brickell and Kate Ainscough. We thank Health Research Board of Ireland for their funding to support data collection in Irish ICU's and data analysis. We gratefully acknowledge the help of CRCI who provided resources to collect data at participating sites.

## References

1. A. C. Ghani, C. A. Donnelly, D. R. Cox, J. T. Griffin, C. Fraser, T. H. Lam, L. M. Ho, W. S. Chan, R. M. Anderson, A. J. Hedley, G. M. Leung (2005). Methods for Estimating the Case Fatality Ratio for a Novel, Emerging Infectious Disease, *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 162(5), 479 - 486. [doi:10.1093/aje/kwi230](https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwi230).
2. R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.